

Factors involved in burn wound healing – short review

Tiberiu Paul NEAGU¹, Mirela TIGLIS², Ileana PERIDE³, Ioan LASCAR¹

¹Department of Plastic Surgery and Reconstructive Microsurgery,

"Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, Romania

²Department of Anesthesia and Intensive Care, Emergency Clinical Hospital of Bucharest, Romania

³Department of Nephrology, "Carol Davila" University of Medicine and Pharmacy Bucharest, Romania

ABSTRACT

Burns represent one of the worldwide leading causes of injury. Burn wounds are associated with increased mortality and morbidity, especially the impaired quality of life due to hypertrophic scarring, scar pain and itching, paresthesia, and contractures. To properly influence the burn wound healing, it is important to establish a correct classification of the acute injury and to understand the main phase of burn wound healing process. There are various local and systemic factors that can be influenced to obtain proper healing. The most important factors include local necrosis and infection, increased local pressure and edema, anemia, hypoxia, hypotension, the presence of important chronic disease and medication, immunosuppression, nutritional status, age, and body constitution. After adequate fluid resuscitation and patient stabilization, the main step is represented by the detachment of the devitalized tissues and rapid coverage of the lesion. There are various methods to be used, like autologous or allogenic skin grafting, the use of skin substitutes, or tissue bioengineering. Knowing all these aspects, allows clinicians to properly define a therapeutic management for patients presenting severe burns.

Keywords: burn, wound healing, delayed healing, dressing, skin grafting

INTRODUCTION

Worldwide reports show that burns are one of the fourth leading types of injury, along with car accidents, falls, and physical aggression / violence [1]. A burn injury can mainly be caused by fire, heat, hot liquids, electricity, friction, or chemical solutions [2]. It is responsible for increased mortality and morbidity among hospitalized patients [3]. After the acute phase, the patient's quality of life is influenced by the scar marks, the scar pain and pruritus, the hypertrophic scarring, paresthesia symptoms, contractures development, and the need for reconstructive surgery [4,5].

Proper diagnosis of the burn lesion is the most important factor, in determining the correct treatment.

Starting from this, wound classification establishes the diagnosis. Therefore, burn wounds are classified as follows [1,2]:

- first-degree burns (superficial thickness) – involvement of the epidermis;
- second-degree burns (partial or intermediate thickness) – involvement of the epidermis and dermis, associated with blister formation;
- third-degree burns (full-thickness) – may involve not only all skin layers but also the adjacent muscles and bones.

To properly understand which factors and how the burn wound healing can be influenced, in addition to the burn wound classification, it is necessary to have a global view of the phase of burn care, from the appear-

Corresponding authors:

Mirela Tiglis

E-mail: mirelatiglis@gmail.com

Article History:

Received: 26 December 2022

Accepted: 30 December 2022




FIGURE 1. Burn care main phases

TBSA = total body surface area (*adapted after Jeschke MG, van Baar ME, Choudhry MA, Chung KK, Gibran NS, Logsetty S. *Burn injury. Nat Rev Dis Primers.* 2020 Feb 13;6(1):11)

ance of the acute injury to the rehabilitation stage, as shown in Figure 1 [2,6-8].

Burn wound healing involves four different stages: hemostasis (vascular constriction, clot formation with subsequent bleeding control of the injury site), inflammation (local neutrophil and lymphocyte infiltration, monocyte differentiation to macrophages, secretion of tissue growth factors), proliferation (fibroblast migration, collagen synthesis, angiogenesis, re-epithelization), and remodeling (synthesis of extracellular matrix, collagen remodeling, vascular maturation, scar tissue formation, wound contracture) [2,9,10].

FACTORS INFLUENCING BURN WOUND HEALING

The primary goal after fluid resuscitation and proper supportive care is wound coverage, to prevent burn lesion sepsis. The actual standard of care involves early surgical excision or enzymatic debridement, in the first 48-72 hours after patients’ stabilization, and rapid cov-

erage, to reduce the inflammatory mediators’ release, reduce blood loss, and therefore decrease the mortality and morbidity rates [11-13]. Burns usually lead to prolonged healing time, especially second- and third-degree lesions, with a greater risk of microbial infections and increased morbidity (risk of amputation, hypertrophic scars, chronic pain, impaired quality of life) [14, 15].

The main methods of burn wound coverage are:

- various dressings: topical antibiotic ointment (bacitracin, polymyxin, mycostatin), silver sulfadiazine ointment, liquid solutions (sulfamylon, silver nitrate, acetic acid) [16-18];
- biosynthetic wound dressing: hydrocolloid dressing, polyurethane film dressing, hydrogel dressing, silicon-coated nylon dressing [18-20];
- vacuum therapy (negative pressure wound therapy and vacuum-assisted closure): stimulates the proliferation process and accelerates wound healing, increased the local blood flow, and promotes angiogenesis [21,22];

TABLE 1. Local and systemic factors which influence burn wound healing

necrosis	devitalized tissues delay wound healing – should be removed
desiccation	epithelization is faster if the local environment is moist and hydrated
maceration	a rapid removal of urine or fecals (proper hygiene) keep skin integrity
infection	invasion into deeper tissues, destruction of granulation tissues – proper topical and systemic antibiotic should be used
trauma/edema	the local blood supply is affected + limitation of the local nutrients exchange + obstruction of the venous and lymphatic return, and the healing cannot begin
local pressure	the blood supply (capillary) is disrupted – delayed healing
anemia	a low hemoglobin level decrease oxygen-carrying capacity
hypotension	low tissular perfusion pressure – delayed healing
ischemia	malnourishment of the adjacent tissues, cell death – impairs and delays wound healing

TABLE 2. Patient's related factors which influence burn wound healing

age	in older patients (compromised immune system, ineffective inflammatory response, hormonal imbalances, low cellular turnover, poor nutritional status, and hydration) the healing process is slower – prolonged hospitalization
gender	higher mortality in women (different hormonal and inflammatory responses in men and women)
body type	obese patients (poor blood supply in the adipose tissue, protein malnutrition) have a delayed wound healing
stress	reduced levels of pro-inflammatory cytokine, hormonal imbalances – delayed wound healing
nutritional status	albumin, prealbumin, transferrin, lymphocyte – markers of malnutrition – monitored regularly
chronic diseases	various chronic diseases delay the healing process: coronary artery disease, diabetes mellitus, cancer, peripheral vascular disease, chronic obstructive pulmonary disease, chronic kidney disease, cirrhosis
chronic medication	glucocorticoids and non-steroidal anti-inflammatory drugs – decrease collagen production, suppress the immune system aspirin inhibit platelet action and cell destruction
immunosuppression	due to medication or cancer – impaired wound healing
radiation therapy	through skin ulcers and hypertrophy impairs the healing
vascular insufficiency	chronic wounds and ulcers decrease the local blood supply and tissues integrity
smoking	vasoconstriction + hypoxia – delays wound healing
alcoholism	reduced resistance to infections – delayed wound healing

- autologous skin grafting: sheet split thickness/meshed split-thickness/full-thickness sheet [9,23];
- allogenic skin grafting: human cadaver and pig skin, fish skin, artificial skin grafts (tissue engineering through 3D-bioprinting and electropinning) mycelia (the vegetative part of fungi) [24-26];
- stem cells: bone marrow-derived mesenchymal stem cells (ability to differentiate to skin fibroblasts), adipose tissue-derived mesenchymal stem cells (can differentiate to fibroblasts, favors regeneration of the damaged tissues) [27,28].

Burn wound healing is influenced by local factors, in relation to the lesions, systemic factors because of the

Conflict of interest: none declared

Financial support: none declared

general impact of the burn trauma, or factors related to the patient clinical status and associated comorbidities [1,9,10,22,29-32]. All these factors are presented, and their effects are explained in Table 1 and Table 2.

CONCLUSION

Burn wound management remains a complex problem, requiring periodic and judicious assessment, along with optimum intensive care measures. The key point to successfully managing the burn wound is to establish a proper diagnosis of the acute lesion. There are various local, systemic, and patient-related factors influencing the tissue healing process which must be known, to be sanctioned in time.

REFERENCES

1. Greenhalgh DG. Management of Burns. *N Engl J Med*. 2019 Jun 13;380(24):2349-59.
2. Jeschke MG, van Baar ME, Choudhry MA, Chung KK, Gibran NS, Logsetty S. Burn injury. *Nat Rev Dis Primers*. 2020 Feb 13;6(1):11.
3. Norman G, Christie J, Liu Z, Westby MJ, Jefferies JM, Hudson T et al. Antiseptics for burns. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017 Jul 12;7(7):CD011821.
4. Mazharinia N, Aghaei S, Shayan Z. Dermatologic Life Quality Index (DLQI) scores in burn victims after revival. *J Burn Care Res*. 2007 Mar-Apr;28(2):312-7.
5. Xiao Y, Sun Y, Zhu B, Wang K, Liang P, Liu W et al. Risk factors for hypertrophic burn scar pain, pruritus, and paresthesia development. *Wound Repair Regen*. 2018 Mar;26(2):172-81.
6. American College of Surgeons. Advanced Trauma Life Support. [facs.org https://www.facs.org/quality%20programs/trauma/atls](https://www.facs.org/quality%20programs/trauma/atls) (Accessed December 1st 2022)
7. Rae L, Fidler P, Gibran N. The Physiologic Basis of Burn Shock and the Need for Aggressive Fluid Resuscitation. *Crit Care Clin*. 2016 Oct;32(4):491-505.
8. Hirche C, Kreken Almeland S, Dheansa B, Fuchs P, Governa M, Hoeksema H et al. Eschar removal by bromelain based enzymatic debridement (Nexobrid®) in burns: European consensus guidelines update. *Burns*. 2020 Jun;46(4):782-96.
9. Abazari M, Ghaffari A, Rashidzadeh H, Badeleh SM, Maleki Y. A Systematic Review on Classification, Identification, and Healing Process of Burn Wound Healing. *Int J Low Extrem Wounds*. 2022 Mar;21(1):18-30.
10. Markiewicz-Gospodarek A, Koziol M, Tobiasz M, Baj J, Radzikowska-Büchner E, Przekora A. Burn Wound Healing: Clinical Complications, Medical Care, Treatment, and Dressing Types: The Current State of Knowledge for Clinical Practice. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Jan 25;19(3):1338.
11. Jeschke MG, Shahrokhi S, Finnerty CC, Branski LK, Dibildox M; ABA Organization &

- Delivery of Burn Care Committee. Wound Coverage Technologies in Burn Care: Established Techniques. *J Burn Care Res*. 2018 Apr 20;39(3):313-8.
12. Herndon DN, Barrow RE, Rutan RL, Rutan TC, Desai MH, Abston S. A comparison of conservative versus early excision. Therapies in severely burned patients. *Ann Surg*. 1989 May;209(5):547-52; discussion 552-3.
 13. Hofmaenner DA, Steiger P, Schuepbach RA, Klinzing S, Waldner M, Klein H et al. Safety of enzymatic debridement in extensive burns larger than 15% total body surface area. *Burns*. 2021 Jun;47(4):796-804.
 14. Shao M, Hussain Z, Thu HE, Khan S, de Matas M, Silkstone V et al. Emerging Trends in Therapeutic Algorithm of Chronic Wound Healers: Recent Advances in Drug Delivery Systems, Concepts-to-Clinical Application and Future Prospects. *Crit Rev Ther Drug Carrier Syst*. 2017;34(5):387-452.
 15. Hussain Z, Thu HE, Rawas-Qalaji M, Naseem M, Khan S, Sohail M. Recent developments and advanced strategies for promoting burn wound healing. *Journal of Drug Delivery Science and Technology*. 2022 Jan 5:103092.
 16. Norman G, Christie J, Liu Z, Westby MJ, Jefferies JM, Hudson T et al. Antiseptics for burns. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2017 Jul 12;7(7):CD011821.
 17. Yoshino Y, Ohtsuka M, Kawaguchi M, Sakai K, Hashimoto A, Hayashi M et al; Wound/Burn Guidelines Committee. The wound/burn guidelines - 6: Guidelines for the management of burns. *J Dermatol*. 2016 Sep;43(9):989-1010.
 18. Wasiak J, Cleland H, Campbell F, Spinks A. Dressings for superficial and partial thickness burns. *Cochrane Database Syst Rev*. 2013 Mar 28;2013(3):CD002106.
 19. Hudspith J, Rayatt S. First aid and treatment of minor burns. *BMJ*. 2004 Jun 19;328(7454):1487-9.
 20. Cassidy C, St Peter SD, Lacey S, Beery M, Ward-Smith P, Sharp RJ, Ostlie DJ. Biobrane versus duoderm for the treatment of intermediate thickness burns in children: a prospective, randomized trial. *Burns*. 2005 Nov;31(7):890-3.
 21. Frear CC, Zang T, Griffin BR, McPhail SM, Parker TJ, Kimble RM, Cuttle L. The modulation of the burn wound environment by negative pressure wound therapy: Insights from the proteome. *Wound Repair Regen*. 2021 Mar;29(2):288-97.
 22. Lin DZ, Kao YC, Chen C, Wang HJ, Chiu WK. Negative pressure wound therapy for burn patients: A meta-analysis and systematic review. *Int Wound J*. 2021 Feb;18(1):112-23.
 23. Medina A, Riegel T, Nystad D, Tredget EE. Modified Meek Micrografting Technique for Wound Coverage in Extensive Burn Injuries. *J Burn Care Res*. 2016 Sep-Oct; 37(5):305-13.
 24. Stone R 2nd, Saathoff EC, Larson DA, Wall JT, Wienandt NA, Magnusson S et al. Accelerated Wound Closure of Deep Partial Thickness Burns with Acellular Fish Skin Graft. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2021 Feb 4;22(4):1590.
 25. Haneef M, Ceseraciu L, Canale C, Bayer IS, Heredia-Guerrero JA, Athanassiou A. Advanced Materials From Fungal Mycelium: Fabrication and Tuning of Physical Properties. *Sci Rep*. 2017 Jan 24;7:41292.
 26. Park YR, Ju HW, Lee JM, Kim DK, Lee OJ, Moon BM et al. Three-dimensional electrospun silk-fibroin nanofiber for skin tissue engineering. *Int J Biol Macromol*. 2016 Dec;93(Pt B):1567-74.
 27. Klar AS, Zimoch J, Biedermann T. Skin Tissue Engineering: Application of Adipose-Derived Stem Cells. *Biomed Res Int*. 2017;2017:9747010.
 28. Motegi SI, Ishikawa O. Mesenchymal stem cells: The roles and functions in cutaneous wound healing and tumor growth. *J Dermatol Sci*. 2017 May;86(2):83-89.
 29. Thomas Hess C. Checklist for factors affecting wound healing. *Adv Skin Wound Care*. 2011 Apr;24(4):192.
 30. Markiewicz-Gospodarek A, Koziol M, Tobiasz M, Baj J, Radzikowska-Büchner E, Przekora A. Burn Wound Healing: Clinical Complications, Medical Care, Treatment, and Dressing Types: The Current State of Knowledge for Clinical Practice. *Int J Environ Res Public Health*. 2022 Jan 25;19(3):1338.
 31. Okonkwo UA, DiPietro LA. Diabetes and Wound Angiogenesis. *Int J Mol Sci*. 2017 Jul 3;18(7):1419.
 32. Wang Y, Beekman J, Hew J, Jackson S, Issler-Fisher AC, Parungao R et al. Burn injury: Challenges and advances in burn wound healing, infection, pain and scarring. *Adv Drug Deliv Rev*. 2018 Jan 1;123:3-17.